



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*CA*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,601	05/04/2006	Bernard Piere Dominique Carcy	I-2003.005 US	8856
31846	7590	01/16/2008		
INTERVET INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT PO BOX 318 MILLSBORO, DE 19966-0318			EXAMINER ARCHIE, NINA	
			ART UNIT 1645	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/16/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/563,601	Applicant(s) CARCY ET AL.	
	Examiner Nina A. Archie	Art Unit 1645	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) Claims 1 in part of SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2, 2, 4-5, 7-15, and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/6/2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are Claims 1 in part of SEQ ID NO: 3 and SEQ ID NO:4, 3-4, 6, 7-18, and 19-21 .

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Priority***

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### ***Drawings***

2. The drawings in this application have been accepted. No further action by Applicant is required.

### ***Specification***

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

4. The information disclosure statement filed ON 1/6/2006 has been considered. An initialed copy is enclosed.

### ***Election/Restrictions***

5. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I is acknowledged. Examiner withdraws election of species.

Claims 1 in part of SEQ ID NO: 3 and SEQ ID NO:4, 3-4, 6, 7-18, and 19-21 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group (Group 2 claims 1 in part of SEQ ID NO: 3 and SEQ ID NO:4, 3-4, 6, 7-15, and 19-21) (Group 3 claim 16), (Group IV claim 17), (Group V claim 18), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement on 12/6/2007.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1 in part of SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2, 2, 4-5, and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification is not enabled for any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae.

Enablement is considered in view of the Wands factors (MPEP 2164.01(a)). There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue." These factors include, but are not limited to:

- (A) The breadth of the claims;
- (B) The nature of the invention;
- (C) The state of the prior art;
- (D) The level of one of ordinary skill;
- (E) The level of predictability in the art;
- (F) The amount of direction provided by the inventor;

- (G) The existence of working examples; and
- (H) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The breadth of the claims. The claim is drawn to an isolated amino acid sequence being used for prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae and are overly broad. Therefore it is hard for one skilled in the art to determine if any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. The quantity of experimentation required to practice the invention as claimed would require in vivo and in vitro studies of the any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. Since the specification fails to provide particular guidance for the any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae for the particularly claimed conditions, it would require undue experimentation to practice the invention over the broad scope as presently claimed.

Nature of the invention. The claims are drawn to any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. The specification discloses in Example 3 (see pp. 48), vaccinations with Bc28.1 and Bc28.2 protein subunit vaccine.

The state of the prior art. The state of the art indicate as set forth by Plotkin et al (VACCINES W.B. Saunders Company, 1988, page 571) "The key to the problem (of vaccine development) is the identification of that protein component of a virus or microbial pathogen that itself can elicit the production of protective antibodies... and

thus protect the host against attack by the pathogen." This teaching directly addresses whether any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. Furthermore, A vaccine "must by definition trigger an immunoprotective response in the host vaccinated; mere antigenic response is not enough." In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557,1561, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The state of the art indicate that any substitution, insertion or deletion or change in an amino acid sequence or nucleic acid that encodes an amino acid highly complex and unpredictable. As taught by the prior art that even a single amino acid change in a protein leads to unpredictable changes in the biological activity of the protein. For example, replacement of a single lysine residue at position 118 of the acidic fibroblast growth factor by glutamic acid led to a substantial loss of heparin binding, receptor binding, and biological-activity of the protein (Burgess et al., The Journal of Cell Biology, 111:2129-2138, 1990). Thus, it is apparent that change in a peptide leads to loss of binding property of that peptide. Furthermore, it is unclear whether the amino acid can be used for prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. It is known for nucleic acids as well as proteins, for example, that even a single nucleotide or amino acid change or mutation can destroy the function of the biomolecule in many instances, albeit not in all cases. The effect of these changes are largely unpredictable as to which one have significant effect versus not. Therefore, the citation of sequence similarity results in an unpredictable and therefore unreliable correspondence between the claimed biomolecule and the indicated similar biomolecule of known function and therefore lacks support regarding utility and/or enablement. Bowie et al teach that an amino acid sequence encodes a message that determines the shape and function of a protein and that it is the ability of these proteins to fold into unique three-dimensional structures that allows them to function, carry out the instructions of the genome and form immunoepitopes. Bowie et al. further teach that the problem of predicting protein structure from sequence data and in turn utilizing predicted structural determinations to

ascertain functional aspects of the protein is extremely complex. (column 1, page 1306). Bowie et al further teach that while it is known that many amino acid substitutions are possible in any given protein, the position within the protein's sequence where such amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of maintaining function are limited. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the three dimensional structure/function relationship and these regions can tolerate only conservative substitutions or no substitutions (column 2, page 1306). Several publications document this unpredictability of the relationship between sequence and function, albeit that certain specific sequence may be found to be conserved over biomolecules of related function upon a significant amount of further research. See the following publications that support this unpredictability as noting certain conserved sequences in limited specific cases: (Gerhold et al [BioEssays, Vol.18, pages. 973-981 {1996}] Bowie et al (Science, 1990, 247:1306-1310). For the reasons set forth supra, the state of the art is unpredictable of any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae.

Guidance in the specification/Working Examples. The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for any isolated amino acid sequence or an immunogenic fragments, wherein said sequence/fragment provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. The specification provides no disclosure how any isolated amino acid sequence or an immunogenic fragments, may be used as a vaccine because it fails to provide guidance whether this variant has the ability to induce a protective immune response or to bind to antisera from infected animal. Absent such demonstration, the invention would require undue experimentation to practice as claimed. The specification, however, provides no working examples demonstrating (i.e., guidance) enablement for any isolated amino acid sequence or an immunogenic fragments, wherein said sequence/fragment provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an



infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae.

In conclusion, the claimed inventions are not enabled for any isolated amino acid sequence or an immunogenic fragments, wherein said sequence/fragment provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. The claim is directed drawn to any isolated amino acid sequence, wherein said sequence provides prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of an infection or its clinical signs caused by an organism of the family Babesiidae. The specification discloses in Example 3 (see pp. 48), vaccinations with Bc28.1 and Bc28.2 protein subunit vaccine. The state of the art indicate that any substitution, insertion or deletion or change in an amino acid sequence or nucleic acid that encodes an amino acid highly complex and unpredictable. There is a lack of working examples. As a result, for the reasons discussed above, it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to use the claimed invention.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olek et al WO200177384 Date October 18, 2001.

Claim 19 is drawn to a diagnostic test for the detection of a nucleic acid associated with an organism of the family Babesiidae, comprising a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: (i) SEQ ID NO: 1 or; (ii) a fragment of

SEQ ID NO: 1 at least 12 nucleotides long; and (v) a nucleic acid that is complementary to any of (i) through (iv).

Olek et al teach (ii) a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 1 at least 12 nucleotides long (see STIC Results). Thus Olek et al teach an diagnostic test for the detection of a nucleic acid associated with an organism of the family Babesiidae, comprising a (ii) a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 1 at least 12 nucleotides long.

### ***Status of the Claims***

8. Claims 1 in part of SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2, 2, 4-5, 7-15, and 19 are rejected.

Claims 20-21 are objected to as being dependent from a rejected base claim.

### ***Conclusion***

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nina A. Archie whose telephone number is 571-272-9938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number:  
10/563,601  
Art Unit: 1645

Page 9

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Nina A Archie

Examiner

GAU 1645

REM 3B31



MARK NAVARRO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER